

Republic of India

Diane Elson Monica Costa Rhonda Sharp Sanjugta Vas Dev

©2009

Contents

1	Background	2
2	Gender equality	3
3	Budgetary context	5
4	Gender-responsive budgeting	6
References		9
Links to electronic resources for India		11

(This country profile is available online at www.unisa.edu.au/genderbudgets)

1 Background

Socio-economic indicators			
Population in 2008	1,149.3 million		
Projected population change 2008–50	53%		
Percent urban (% of total population) 2008	28%		
GDP per capita, (PPP \$US) 2005	\$3,452		
Human Development Index 2007-08 Human Development Index rank 2007-08	0.619 128 th of 177		
Proportion (percent) of population below the Poverty Line (\$1 PPP a day) 2004	35.1%		

Sources: UNDP (2007) *Human Development Report 2007/08;* Population Reference Bureau (2008) *World Population Data Sheet;* Asian Development Bank (2008) *Key Indicators for Asia and the Pacific 2008.* (See Explanatory Notes for details).

India is home to 16.7% of the world's population and the second most populous country in the world (Government of India 2008). Hinduism is practiced by 80% of the population and 14% of the population is Muslim (Government of India 2008). However, India is a secular state. The caste system – comprising of four main castes and thousands of sub-castes - structures Indian society. Lower castes, scheduled castes and dalit communities representing 15% of the population, experience many disadvantages (Bhan 2001: 4). While Hindi, spoken in most urban centres, has official status at the All-India level, an additional 21 languages are recognised in the Constitution and 844 dialects have been recorded across the country (Government of India 2008).

After independence from British colonial rule in 1947, India has become the world's largest democracy (Bhan 2001: 2). It currently comprises a federation of seven union territories, twenty-eight states and 604 districts, which are further sub-divided into municipalities and villages (National Information Centre (NIC) date unspecified; Government of India 2008). The first general elections took place in 1952, resulting in the election to government of the strongly secular Congress party led by Nehru. In the late 1990s the Hindu-nationalist Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) gained popularity to become an important political force in national politics (The Economist 2009). Since 2004 (re-elected in 2009) the Congress Party Prime-Minister Manmohan Singh has led a coalition government (The Economist 2009).

Following decades of socialist-inspired economic policies, in 1991 India adopted the New Economic Policy, which was aligned with neoliberal policies including privatisation, liberalisation, and downsizing in the public sector (Upadhyay 2000: 1; Bhan 2001). This represented a marked a shift from earlier policies based on public ownership of the key economic sectors and grounded on principles of self-reliance and import substitution (Government of India 1999: 6). However, controls were retained on capital movements which reduced India's vulnerability to the 1997-1998 Asian Financial Crisis (Stiglitz 1999). The New Economic Policy has been accompanied by high rates of economic growth, increased per capita national income and rapid expansion of exports, but it also has entailed constraints on public expenditure and a squeeze on credit for farmers and small businesses (Chandrasekhar 2008: 1). The New Economic Policy has led to increased inequality, particularly between rural and urban areas, which has been reinforced by the fact that most investments were located in urban centres. The focus on industry and technology has been perceived as reinforcing religious, regional, gender and caste-based divides (Bhan 2001).

India is still a lower middle income economy in terms of World Bank classifications with a per capita GDP of US\$3,452. If growth continues at current rates it will soon be a middle income country (see table). However, per capita GDP figures mask large inequalities: The World Bank has noted that India's richest states now have incomes that are five times higher than those of

the poorest state (World Bank 2009:1) and the 2007-08 Human Development Report ranks India at 128th of 177 countries on the Human Development Index (see table).

Nevertheless, India's progress on several MDG targets is on track, including in relation to the goals of reducing extreme poverty and hunger and improving access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation in urban areas. The government claims that that current policy efforts make it possible to achieve all MDG goals before 2015 (Government of India Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation 2005: i). The MDG Monitor (2008) reports that achieving the goals relating to literacy, nutrition, maternal mortality and child mortality requires greater efforts, particularly from the provincial governments who have responsibility for social sector programs related to the MDGs.

Poverty remains a major challenge for India. While the number of poor with an income of a dollar a day was reduced from 296 million in 1981 to 267 million people in 2005, recent estimates using the new poverty line of \$1.25 a day shown an increase in the number of Indians living in poverty from 421 million in 1981 to 456 million in 2005 (Chen and Ravallion 2008; World Bank 2009). The 2001 census reported that the population living in urban slums with a population of 50,000 and above was 42.6 million, representing 22.6% of the population in urban centres. These slums are acknowledged by government to be overcrowded and polluted, and have poor services and infrastructure (Government of India, Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation (MHUPA) 2009). A citizens' report on the MDGs claims that only 120 million among 200 million children in the age group 6-14 years are enrolled in school (and that a mere 60% of those enrolled attend) and that health has not improved since early the nineties (Duggal, Govinda and Swain et al. 2005).

Gender equality

Gender equality indicators			
Gender Development Index (GDI) value 2005 Gender Development Index (GDI) rank 2005	0.600 112 th of 157		
Gender Empowerment Measure (GEM) value 2007/2008 Gender Empowerment Measure (GEM) rank 2007/2008	Not ranked Not ranked		
Gender Gap Index (GGI) value 2007 Gender Gap Index (GGI) rank 2007	0.5936 114 th of 128		
Seats in parliament held by women (% of total) 2007	8.3%		
Population Sex Ratio (males per 100 females) 2005	107.46		
Maternal Mortality Ratio (per 100,000 live births) 2005	450		
Adult literacy rate, females (aged 15 and older) 2005 Adult literacy rate, males (aged 15 and older) 2005	47.8% 73.4%		
Gross secondary enrolment: Ratio of female rate to male rate 2005	0.8		
Gross secondary enrolment: Female ratio (% of the female secondary school aged population) 2005	50%		
Share of women in wage employment in the non-agricultural sector (% of total employment in the sector) 2006	18.1%		
Ratification to CEDAW (year)	1993		

Sources: UNDP (2007) Human Development Report 2007/08; World Economic Forum (2007) The Global Gender Gap Report; UN Statistics Division (2008) Millennium Development Goal Indicators; United Nations Population Division (2008) World Population Prospects. (See Explanatory Notes for details).

The principles of gender equality are embedded in the Indian Constitution, yet the status of women in India remains vulnerable in the context of economic liberalisation and the escalation of caste and religious intolerance (Kannabiran 2006: 1). In 1999, the India CEDAW Report (Government of India 1999: 6) highlighted the gap between policy commitments and laws and the reality of the status of women in India.

While there has been progress in promoting gender equality with India ranked 112th of 177 on the GDI index, serious challenges remain. In many parts of India, preference for sons remains strong. The ratio of girls to boys in the population has been declining and fell from 945 in 1991 to 927 in 2001 (Government of India Ministry of Women and Child Development (MWCD) 2006: 9). While the female labour participation rate has increased (from 22.5% in 1991 to 25.7% in 2001), women tend to be concentrated in the informal sector (Government of India 1999 and 2005; Government of India MWCD 2009:9). The share of women in wage employment in the non-agricultural sector remains low at 18.1% (see table).

Between 2000 and 2004, the average wage differential between men and women has worsened (Government of India MWCD 2006). More than half of all women suffer from anemia, contributing to continuing high maternal morbidity rates, despite some improvements (Government of India MWCD 2006). Bhan (2001) has noted that girls are less likely than boys to be taken to hospital. Kannabiran (2006) has argued that the erosion of the public health system has contributed to worsening the condition of women in India.

Education is one of the areas where both government and civil society acknowledge that progress has been made (Kannabiran 2006: 4; Government of India, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation 2005: 19). The government's MDG report highlighted increases in female literacy at a higher rate than that of men, so that the ratio of literate women to men rose from 0.61 in 1991 to 0.71 in 2001. By 2005 47.8% of adult females were literate compared to 73.4% of adult men (see table). There have also been rises in female enrolments at all levels of education, assisted by efforts in most states to ensure that basic to higher secondary education levels were effectively free of official fees (Government of India, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation 2005: 42). The MDG target for gender equality and women's empowerment of parity in primary school enrolment of boys and girls has been achieved (UNDP 2009). Women's representation in local government has increased following the 73rd and 74th constitutional amendments which reserve one-third of local government seats to women. This reserved seats target has been exceeded in Kerala, reaching 36.4%, and in Uttar Pradesh, where 54% of the presidents of Zila Parishad (local government) are women (Government of India, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation 2005: 44). However women hold only 8.3% of seats in the national parliament (UNDP 2009).

A National Commission for Women (NCW) was established in 1992 with the mandate to review and provide recommendations on legislative measures and advise on all policy matters affecting women (Indian NCW date unspecified). State Commissions for Women have been constituted in 20 states (Government of India 2005: 13). In 2006 the Department of Women and Child Development, established in 1985, was upgraded to the Ministry of Women and Child Development. The Ministry, with assistance from civil society organisations, implements initiatives to promote women's economic and social empowerment, covering welfare and support services, training for employment self-employment, and gender awareness-raising (Government of India MWCD 2009). To support the Ministry's efforts, several measures have been adopted:

A National Policy for Women's Empowerment was approved in 2001 (Government of India MWCD 2006). The National Resource Centre for Women (NRCW) located in the Ministry, was established to build an information base and disseminate information (NRCW date unspecified).

A Committee on the Empowerment of Women has been established in both houses of the Parliament, the Council of States and House of the People (Indian Parliament 2008).

Civil society has been important in promoting gender equality in India. The 1970s saw the emergence of a women's' movement grounded in mass and popular politics (Sen 2000). Violence against women was one of the first issues to generate national mobilisation, often through street protests. Other examples include women's mobilisation on issues such as alcohol, trafficking of drugs, gambling or theft (Butalia 1997). A coalition of 40 poor community-based organisations of women in the city of Mumbai mobilised in the 1990s 'to make the government's network of fair-price shops – the Public Distribution System (PDS) – more responsive to the poor' through vigilance committees in the shops that sold subsidised commodities (Goetz and Jenkins 2001: 3). The government has acknowledged that civil society organisations have played a pivotal role in monitoring compliance to commitment with gender equality; in campaigning for the elimination of discriminatory laws through advocacy, lobbying, and networking; and in drafting new laws on women's rights (Government of India 2005).

3 India's budgetary context

India's budgetary processes and budget priorities are strongly influenced by its national development plans. Since the early 1950s, India has adopted a series of five year development plans that outline goals, programs and budgetary allocations for socioeconomic development (Das 2007). The Plan is prepared on the basis of consultations with Ministries, experts and civil society organisations. The Planning Commission and the Ministry of Finance are the key players in preparing the budget (Mooij and Dev 2002: 15). The Ministry of Finance determines what resources are available for spending and the Planning Commission determines the allocation of expenditure between Ministries, guided by the Five Year Plan, and in consultation with Ministries. Through this process, a statement of Budget Estimates is prepared and incorporated into the *Budget Statement* which is presented to Parliament by the Minister of Finance in February each year (Sharma and Kanchi 2009).

As an aid to monitoring implementation of the budget, each Ministry is subsequently required to prepare a Performance and Outcome Budget, which is submitted by March 20 and used by the Parliamentary Standing Committees to scrutinize the Budget Estimate in the succeeding year. The Performance and Outcome Budget links financial outlays to outputs and outcomes, presenting targets and achievements (Sharma and Kanchi 2009).

In November-December each year, Ministries review their expenditure and submit Revised Estimates for the remainder of the financial year. There is very often a large gap between the initial Budget Estimates and the (lower) Revised Estimates, reflecting delays in the release of funds for spending due to complex bureaucratic procedures. At the end of the financial year, the budget is audited and actual expenditure is determined. Each budget estimate contains three sets of expenditure figures: the Budget Estimates of proposed expenditure in the coming year; the Revised Estimates for spending in the past year; and the audited data for Actual Expenditure two years ago (Sharma and Kanchi 2009). Much of the expenditure allocated in the national budget is devolved to lower tiers of government (state, municipal and village) for utilisation and there are many challenges in keeping track of it.

The Open Budget Index has classified India at 60th out of 100, signifying that the government provides 'some information' in its budget documents, and has highlighted opportunities for expanded citizen participation in the budget process (International Budget Partnership 2008). While there is a practice of wide consultation around the development of the five-year plan, preparation of the budget involves only limited consultations with key interest groups outside the government (Mooij and Dev 2002: 21). Bhanu (2007: 2) argues that in the Parliament the

budget discussions are usually restricted to a few members of Parliament, as most parliamentarians are unfamiliar with the technical details. Although the Office of the Comptroller and Auditor General audits the government's accounts and produces an annual report, and the Parliament's enactment of the budget and review of its implementation is considered to be transparent, the process of the national budget preparation remains, as in other countries, a closed exercise (Das 2007: 43).

Civil society organizations dedicated to scrutiny of the budget process are important players in India at both the national and state levels and have been particularly focused on pro-poor budgeting (Mooij and Dev 2002: 17). Among the leading organisations are Developing Initiatives for Social and Human Action (Ahmedabad), Centre for Budget and Policy Studies (Bangalore), Centre for Budget Studies (Mumbai), Centre for Budget and Governance Accountability (Delhi), Social Watch Tamil Nadu (Chennai) and Budget Analysis Centre (Rajasthan) (Bhanu 2007).

4 Gender-responsive budgeting

Budgetary indicators			
Central Government tax revenue (% of GDP) 2007 Central Government total expenditure (% of GDP) 2007	Not available Not available		
General Government public expenditure on education (% of GDP) 2002–05 Public expenditure on education (% of total expenditure) 2002-05	3.8% 10.7%		
General Government public expenditure on health (% of GDP) 2004 General Government public expenditure on health (% of total government expenditure) 2005	0.9% 3.5%		
Central Government military expenditure (% of GDP) 2005 Central Government public expenditure on defence (% of total outlays) 2007	2.8% Not available		
General Government total debt service (% of GDP) 2005	3%		
Open Budget Index: Overall Score	60%. Government provides the public with some information on the central government's budget and financial activities during the course of the budget year.		

Sources: UNDP (2007) Human Development Report 2007/08; UNESCAP (2008) Statistical Yearbook for Asia and the Pacific; IMF (2008) Government Finance Statistics; Open Budget Initiative (2008).

The planning process in India has created space for the introduction of gender responsive budgeting. The process of preparing the Five Year Plans has encouraged attention to gender issues. This has evolved since the Seventh Development Plan (1985-90) which drew attention to allocations for programs directed to women. A special mechanism to monitor 27 beneficiary oriented programs for women was devised (Das and Mishra 2006a: 1; Budlender 2007:4). The Eighth Five Year Plan (1992-97) referred to the need to ensure that general development programs accommodated gender issues and were complemented by specific initiatives for women (Government of India MWCD 2007: 12). The Ninth Five Year Plan (1997-2002) established the Women's Component Plan (WCP) which directed both the central and state governments to ensure that not less than 30 per cent of the funds/benefits were allocated to women in all the sectors that were perceived to be 'women related' (Das and Mishra 2006a: 1). The Tenth Five Year Plan (2002-7) linked the WCP with Gender Budgeting and called for them to play complementary roles so as to ensure that 'women received their rightful share of public expenditure' (Sharma and Kanchi: 24). However, the Mid-Term Appraisal of the Tenth Plan revealed that the WCP was not being properly implemented and a large number of Ministries had stopped reporting their expenditures for it (Sharma and Kanchi 2009:28).

Consideration of gender responsive budgeting began with a workshop on GRB analysis held in Delhi in 2000, supported by UNIFEM. This workshop brought together public officials, international experts and Indian researchers and activists (Senapaty 2000). Following this workshop, the National Institute of Public Finance and Policy, in collaboration with the Department of Women and Child Development, and with UNIFEM support, was entrusted with preparing a gender analysis of the budget allocations (Budlender 2002: 150; Chakraborty 2003: 3; Government of India MWCD 2002). As part of this exercise, three categories of government expenditures were identified: firstly expenditure on women-specific programs; secondly, allocations linked with Women's Component Plan of the Five Year Plan; and, finally, mainstream public expenditures that have a gender–differentiated impact. However, as Das and Mishra (2006a: 5) point out, in the absence of data on actual expenditures related to the Women's Component Plan, the NIPFP calculations assumed that 30% of the relevant funds had in fact flowed to women.

The National Commission on Women held a national consultation on GRB in 2003 and sent a memorandum to the Ministry of Finance. In the 2004-2005 budget speech, Mr. Chidambaram, the Indian Minister of Finance, stated:

'Women's groups have met me and urged me to consider gender budgeting. This means that the budget data should be presented in a manner that the gender sensitivities of the budgetary allocations are clearly highlighted. An expert group on 'Classification System of Government Transactions' has submitted its report on July 6, 2004. It has recommended appropriate systems for data collection and representation in the budget. The group has also recommended introduction of periodic benefit-incidence analysis. Government will examine the recommendations, and I hope it will be possible for me to implement some of them in the Budget for 2005-06 (Minister for Finance 2004).

By late 2004 the government had inaugurated an inter-departmental committee mandated with responsibility to implement GRB (Das and Mishra 2006a: 5). In 2005, the Ministry of Finance mandated all Ministries to set up a Gender Budget Cell and 18 Ministries and Departments to present Annual Reports and Performance and Outcome Budgets specifying budget allocations for women (Patel 2007: 1). The Parliamentary Standing Committee on the Empowerment of Women called for gender budget cells in the Ministries to become fully operational and GRB to be disseminated widely (Government of India MWCD 2007: 16).

In 2005-2006, for the first time, the budget papers included a gender budgeting statement which covered the expenditure allocated to 10 ministries and departments (Budlender 2007: 5). In 2006/7 the gender budgeting statement included 18 ministries and departments (Budlender 2007: 5). It was divided into two parts: part A covered programs that direct 100% of their budget to women; and part B covered programs within which at least 30% of the funding is supposed to flow to women (Das and Mishra 2006b: 3285). The Government of India has continued to produce a similar gender budget statement as part of the budget papers.

The expenditure covered in the statement however is only a small proportion of total government expenditure. In the 2007-08 budget, it represented 4.8% of the total central government expenditure (Mishra and Jhamb 2007: 1423). Moreover, doubt has been cast by researchers at the Centre for Budget and Governance Accountability on the quality of the data and soundness of the assumptions underpinning the gender budget statement. It seems that total expenditures on programs that include both men and women as beneficiaries have been included in Part A of the statement and the basis on which expenditure has been accounted for in Part B of the statement is not clear, since sex-disaggregated breakdown of beneficiaries is not provided. Moreover, there has been confusion in accounting for allocations for children (Das and Mishra 2006a; Das and Mishra 2006b: 3287).

The Government of India has been trying to extend GRB beyond the budget statement and to strengthen the capacity and influence of the Gender Budget Cells. In 2007, the Ministry of Finance produced a Charter for the Gender Budget Cells, specifying that they should comprise a group of senior or middle level public servants from Plan, Policy, Coordination, Budget and Accounts divisions within the relevant Ministry, headed by a high ranking official (Government of India MWCD 2008: 107). They should go beyond identifying expenditures for the gender budget statement to conduct gender aware performance audits, review program targets and strengthen delivery systems. Moreover, the Charter made clear that the GRB Statement must also include Ministries such as Defence, Power, Telecoms, Communications, Transport and Industry that thought of their activities as 'gender-neutral' but whose activities do in fact have gender implications (Sharma and Kanchi 2009: 27). At least 58 Ministries and departments out of a total of 78 have now set up Gender Budget Cells (Sharma and Kanchi 2009:27).

GRB principles have also been extended to the Performance and Outcome Budget. Revised guidelines issued in December 2007 require targets and indicators of outputs and outcomes that relate to individuals to be disaggregated by sex. Several training initiatives have been undertaken under the leadership of the MWCD, including the production of a training manual by South African GRB expert, Debbie Budlender, with support from UNDP and UNIFEM (Government of India MWCD 2008: 111). However, as the MWCD noted in 2008, it has no staff dedicated to GRB (MWCD 2008: 121). GRB activities have also been undertaken at the level of state, municipal and village governments (Patel 2007:17).

The Eleventh Plan (2007-2012) also supports strengthening the GRB Statement through the recommendations of the Planning Commission's Working Group on the Empowerment of Women. In addition, on the initiative of Dr Syeda Hameed, the only woman member of the Planning Commission, an advisory Committee of Feminist Economists was established (Committee of Feminist Economists 2007: 2). This is the first time that feminist economics has been officially recognised by a government. The Committee, comprising leading feminist economists from universities and research institutes, worked to influence the diagnosis that underlies the Plan and the polices incorporated in it. It aimed to incorporate a gender perspective throughout the Plan, for instance in the chapters on Industry and Agriculture and Infrastructure, and not just in a special Chapter on 'Women and Child Development'. The Committee of Feminist Economists has now been institutionalised as an ongoing committee, and in April 2009 gave a presentation to the Planning Commission on gender and the economic crisis (communication with the Committee of Feminist Economists).

Women's organisations have been active in discussing and producing recommendations for the budget and the five-year plans (Budlender 2007: 23). Further research has been conducted, with support of UNIFEM, by the National Institute of Public Finance and Policy; and UNIFEM commissioned a number of leading Indian researchers to analyse various aspects of budgets from a gender perspective, published in a series called Follow the Money (http://unifem.org.in/genderbudgets.html). The journal *Economic and Political Weekly* has published a number of articles on GRB by Indian researchers (for example, *Economic and Political Weekly Review of Women's Studies* Vol. XXXIX No.44, October 2004) The non-governmental Centre for Budget and Governance Accountability (set up in 2004) conducts an annual analysis of the Budget from a pro-poor and gender-sensitive perspective, holding a public meeting the day after the budget is tabled in parliament.

The Indian government's experience of GRB is being used as reference point for other GRB initiatives in the region. Recently UNIFEM supported a roundtable between Korean Women's Development Institute and the Indian Government on gender-responsive budgeting (UNIFEM date unspecified).

References

- Bhan, Gautam (2001) India Gender Profile. BRIDGE Country Report no.62. Brighton: BRIDGE Institute of Development Studies. http://www.bridge.ids.ac.uk/cf/searchres.cfm (accessed 01/06/09).
- Bhanu, Vinod (2007) 'Making the Indian Budget How Open and Participatory?'. Economic and Political Weekly - 31/03/2007. http://www.gender-budgets.org/content/view/415/156/ (accessed 01/06/09).
- Budlender, Debbie (2002) 'A Profile of Country Activities' in D. Budlender, D. Elson, G. Hewitt and T. Mukhopadhyay, Gender Budgets Make Cents: Understanding gender responsive budgets. London: Commonwealth Secretariat: 131-164.
- Budlender, Debbie in collaboration with the Commonwealth Secretariat (2007) Gender Responsive Budgets in the Commonwealth Progress Report 2005 – 2007 Commonwealth Finance Minister's Meeting (Georgetown Guyana 15-17 October 2007). London: Commonwealth Secretariat (FMM(07)17). http://www.gender-budgets.org/content/view/472/153/ (accessed 02/06/09).
- Butalia, Urvashi (1997) The women's movement in India: Action and reflection. Penang: Third World Network. http://www.twnside.org.sg/title/india1-cn.htm (accessed 08/04/09).
- Chandrasekhar, C. (2008) Financial liberalization and the new dynamics of growth in India. Penang: Third World Network. http://www.eldis.org/go/display&type=Document&id=40980 (accessed 03/06/09).
- Chakraborty, Lekha (2003) Macroscan of Union budget 2003 in India: a glimpse through gender lens. New Delhi: National Institute of Public Finance and Policy. http://www.gender-budgets.org/content/view/109/156/ (accessed 02/06/09).
- Committee of Feminist Economists (2007) Towards inclusive growth: The gender dimension. New Delhi: Planning Commission – Government of India. http://planningcommission.nic.in/aboutus/committee/wrkgrp11/wg11_fec.pdf (accessed 03/06/09).
- Das, Subrat (2007) Let's talk about budget. New Delhi: Centre for Budget and Governance Accountability. http://www.cbgaindia.org/primers_manuals.php (accessed 03/06/09).
- Das, Subrat and Mishra, Yamini (2006a) Women's Component Plan and Gender Budgeting in India: Still a Long Way to Go! New Delhi: Centre for Budget and Governance Accountability (CBGA). http://www.cbgaindia.org/featured_articles.php?id=13 (accessed 02/06/09).
- Das, Subrat and Mishra, Yamini (2006b) Gender Budgeting Statement Misleading and Patriarchal Assumptions. Economic and Political Weekly 29/07/09: 3285 - 3288. http://www.gender-budgets.org/content/view/348/156/ (accessed 03/06/09).
- Duggal, Ravi; Govinda, R.; Swain, Biraj; Gopal, K.; Singh, Anil (2005) Securing rights- Citizens' Report on MDGs. Bangalore: Wada Na Todo Abhiyan (Keep the Promise Campaign). http://www.mdgmonitor.org/country_reports.cfm?c=IND&cd=356 (accessed 02/06/09).
- Goetz, Anne Marie and Jenkins, Rob (2001) Gender-sensitive local auditing: Initiatives from India to build accountability to women. Washington: World Bank Institute. http://www.genderbudgets.org/component/option,com_docman/task,doc_view/gid,40/ (accessed 03/06/09).
- Government of India (1999) Initial Report on CEDAW (CEDAW/C/IN/1). New Delhi: Government of India. http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/reports.htm (accessed 01/06/09).
- Government of India (2005) Report on CEDAW (CEDAW/C/IND/2-3). New Delhi: Government of India. http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/cedaw/reports.htm (accessed 01/06/09).
- Government of India (2008) 'Ethnicity of India'. New Delhi: Government of India. http://india.gov.in/knowindia/ethnicity_of_india.php (accessed 01/06/09).

- Government of India Minister of Finance, Chidambaram, P. (2004) 2004-2005 Budget Speech. New Delhi: Ministry of Finance Government of India. http://indiabudget.nic.in/ub2004-05/bs/speecha.htm (accessed in 10/04/09).
- Government of India Ministry of Housing and Urban Poverty Alleviation (MHUPA) (2009) *India-Urban Poverty Report 2009*. New Delhi: Government of India. www.undp.org.in/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=540&Itemid=646 (accessed 02/06/09).
- Government of India Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (2005) *Millenium Development Goals India Country Report* 2005. New Delhi: Government of India. http://www.mdgmonitor.org/country_reports.cfm?c=IND&cd=356 (accessed 02/06/09).
- Government of India Ministry of Women and Child Development (MWCD) (2002) MWCD Annual Plan 2001-2002. New Delhi: Government of India. http://wcd.nic.in/chap11.htm (accessed 18/12/08).
- Government of India Ministry of Women and Child Development (MWCD) (2006) Report of the working group on empowerment of women for XI Plan. New Delhi: Government of India. http://www.wcd.nic.in/wgfinalreport.pdf (accessed 02/06/09).
- Government of India Ministry of Women and Child Development (MWCD) (2007) *Gender Responsive Budgeting* Powerpoint Presentation. New Delhi: Government of India. http://wcd.nic.in/ (accessed 03/06/09).
- Government of India Ministry of Women and Child Development (MWCD) (2008) *MWCD Annual Report* 2007-2008. New Delhi: Government of India. http://wcd.nic.in/ (accessed 02/06/09).
- Government of India Ministry of Women and Child Development (MWCD) (date unspecified) *About us Organisation Chart: Introduction*. New Delhi: Government of India. http://wcd.nic.in/ (accessed in 10/04/09).
- Government of India National Information Centre (date unspecified) *Gateway to Districts of India on the web*. New Delhi: Government of India. http://districts.nic.in/ (accessed 18/12/2008).
- Government of India National Resource Centre Women (NRCW) (date unspecified), *About NRCW*. New Delhi: Government of India. http://nrcw.nic.in (accessed 17/12/08).
- India National Council of Women (NCW) (date unspecified) *About NCW*. New Delhi: NCW. http://ncw.nic.in/ (accessed 17/12/08).
- India Parliament (date unspecified) *Indian Parliament*. http://parliamentofindia.nic.in/ (accessed 17/12/08).
- International Budget Partnership (2008) *Open Budget Index India.* Washington: International Budget Partnership.

 http://www.openbudgetindex.org/cms/index.cfm?fa=view&id=2398&hd=1 (accessed 02/06/09).
- Kannabiran, Kalpana (Ed.) (2006) *India: Second NGO Shadow Report on CEDAW*. New Delhi: National Alliance of Women (NAWO). http://www.nawoindia.org/Second-NGO-Shadow-Report-on-CEDAW.asp (accessed 01/06/09).
- Mishra, Yamini and Jhamb, Bhumika (2007) 'What does budget 2007-8 offer women?'. *Economic and Political Weekly* 21/04/07. http://www.gender-budgets.org/content/view/350/156/ (accessed 02/06/09).
- Mooij, Jos and Dev, S. Mahendra (2002) *Social sector priorities: an analysis of budgets and expenditures in India in the 1990s*. Institute of Development Studies Working Paper 164. Brighton: Institute of Development Studies University of Sussex. http://www.ids.ac.uk/index.cfm?objectID=FA0755E3-5056-8171-7B8A757CBE2523D4&pgno_pub=4 (accessed 14/07/09).
- Patel, Vibhuti (2007) 'Gender budgeting in India'. Gender Budgeting An effective tool for achieving women's empowerment (National Workshop: 15/04/07). New Delhi: Equity Foundation, Patna and Indian Planning Commission.
 - http://www.gender-budgets.org/content/view/292/156/ (accessed 02/06/09).

- Sen, Samita (2000) 'Toward a Feminist Politics? The Indian Women's Movement in Historical Perspective'. Gender and Development Working Paper Series No. 9. Washington: World Bank. http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTGENDER/Resources/wp9.pdf (accessed 02/06/09).
- Senapaty, Manju (2000) Workshop on Gender Budgets. New Delhi: UNIFEM South Asia Regional Office. http://www.gender-budgets.org/content/view/119/154/ (accessed 03/06/09).
- Sharma, Benita and Kanchi, Aruna (2008) 'Effective Use of Gender Responsive Budgeting Tools and Strategies in the Context of the Aid Effectiveness Agenda: India Country Report'. Paper presented to UNIFEM/EU Workshop on Gender and Aid Effectiveness - September. Brussels.
- Stiglitz, Joseph E (1999). 'Reforming the global economic architecture: Lessons from recent crises'. The *Journal of Finance*. 54(4): pp 1508-1521.
- The Economist (2009) Singh when you're winning. London: The Economist. http://www.economist.com/displayStory.cfm?story_id=13692955 (accessed 01/06/09).
- UNIFEM (date unspecified) 'UNIFEM Facilitates Round Table with South Korean and Indian Government Representatives on GRB'. http://www.gender-budgets.org/content/view/476/215/ (accessed 02/06/09).
- United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) (2009) Millennium Development Goals Monitor. UNDP. http://www.mdgmonitor.org/factsheets (accessed 02/07/09).
- Upadhyay U. D. (2000) 'India's New Economic Policy of 1991 and its Impact on Women's Poverty and AIDS'. Feminist Economics 6 (3): 105-122.
- World Bank (2009) *India Country Overview* 2009. World Bank. http://www.worldbank.org.in/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/COUNTRIES/SOUTHASIAEXT/INDIAEX html#overview (accessed 02/07/09).
- World Bank (date unspecified) Revised poverty estimates: What does this mean for India? Is India getting poorer?. World Bank. http://go.worldbank.org/CG39MFTA90 (accessed in 03/06/09).

Links to electronic resources for India

Ministry of Finance

http://finmin.nic.in/

India Statistics

http://www.indiastat.com/default.aspx

Ministry of Women and Child Development

http://wcd.nic.in/

Asian Development Bank

http://www.adb.org/India/

World Bank

http://www.worldbank.org.in/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/COUNTRIES/SOUTHASIAEXT/INDIAEX TN/0,,menuPK:295589~pagePK:141159~piPK:141110~theSitePK:295584,00.html

UNDP

http://www.undp.org.in/

Millennium Development Goals

http://www.mdgmonitor.org/factsheets_00.cfm?c=IND&cd=356

Centre for Budget and Governance Accountability

http://www.cbgaindia.org