Person-Centred and Consumer Directed Mental Health Care: Transforming Care Experiences

Produced for the National Mental Health Commission by the Mental Health and Suicide Prevention Research and Education Group, University of South Australia.

Online Appendices

Appendix 4: Coding analysis

Appendix 4: Coding analysis

The following table lists the various codes that were developed as thematic analysis of the consultations occurred.

Coding framework for practitioner and policy maker participants		
PCC conceptually	autonomy	
	CAMHS	
	CTOs	
	emergency	
	genuine listening	
	holistic	
	individualised	
	language	
	mental health does PCC better	
	oppressive	
	person and family centred care	
	provider-led	
	recovery	
	shared decision making	
	trauma-informed	
CDC conceptually	autonomy	
	capacity	
	emergency	
	individualised	
	involving the consumer	
	person-led	
	relationships	
	safeguards	
Barriers	cultural and linguistic	
	for carers	
	clinician understanding	
	communication	

confidentiality conflict consumer capacity culture empowerment evidence base funding model inconsistencies **KPIs** lack of choice lack of support for staff leadership limited lived-experience workforce medical model mental health act NDIS funding NDIS is rigid NDIS quality NDIS services constantly changing negative healthcare experience power priorities public perception referral pathways resources retraumatisation rhetoric and reality risk rural setting services are rigid services for CALD communities time pressures families experiencing instability **Practice examples** ACDs communities in control community run organisations connecting with people

consumer voice consumer wishes dignity in risk emergency evaluation flexibility informed consent least-restrictive person-centred care lived experience leadership measuring effectiveness narrative approaches Pathways to independent living peer review peer work protective empowerment recovery recovery unit rehabilitation Safe wards Safe Haven Safeside shared decision making staff perspectives trauma informed triangle of care Shifts accessibility of services advertisement of peer work roles allowing medication withdrawal building rapport consumer as expert consumer capacity consumer voice in case notes education and training flexibility in services focus on trauma human rights focus individualised care

language
leadership
listening
lived experience
mutual respect
non-medical treatment
prevention
reform
service delivery
social determinants of mental health
strengths based approach
sustainable workforce
transparency

CC conceptually	can include person and family centred care
	carers continuity of care
	fully informed decisions
	needs options and choices
	pathways are easy to navigate and supported
	people author own story
	doesn't impose or coerce
	involves carers in planning
	power empowerment autonomy in decision making
	shared decision making
	what works for people - groups
	where person is at - whole person social context
	works for safety and quality
	flexible innovative solutions to issues
CDC conceptually	can shut out carers as autonomy is stronger
	choice control and autonomy
	consumer - empowered to control funding on plans
	consumer can make good or poor-quality decisions
	dignity of risk is acknowledged
	doesn't focus on assessment and diagnosis as starting points
	follows insurance models like NDIS or supportive organisation cultures
	needs information and knowledge about services to meet needs
	consumers evaluate the service
	experts in own lives
Barriers	tensions and conflicts of interests
	funding program restrictive
	lack of information about services
	unrealistic expectations on recovery
	variable skills amongst workers to work for CDC
	culture of confidentiality - shuts out carers
	inconsistent understanding-practice of PCC in clinicians
	inequities - unjust access

Barriers cont.

lack of commitment and implementation lack of information for consumers about choices and options lack of service flexibility lack of sustained org leadership to shift culture LE workers low numbers under powered MH legislation enables treatment orders - contrary to autonomy no access to talking therapies problems with NDIS limiting choice and access some - complex trauma too hard to service stigma and disempowerment professional expertise imposed over LE MH orders overshadow decision making and consumer experience not pathways of care - outside of pathways variation in provider interpersonal skills for PCC lack of good communication empathy and trust carers needs - pain ignored not understood carers stepping in consumer feel unsafe due to context excluded in CAMHS - overbearing parent information systems and cases notes disempowering lack of services in country areas or other specific community needs lack of specific community knowledge e.g., misgendering - stereotyping lack of time and relationship building lack of transparency in decision making narrow focus - lack of wholistic care paternal objectifying culture of trad medical model physical designs - unsafe feelings for consumers professionals feeling threatened by LE programs have unrealistic expectations about recovery risk aversion- managing risk under resourced and burnt out worker false assumptions about consumer distress lack of communication information with carers professional lens power hierarchies undervalue LE

tensions on risks

Practice examples	expert directed care can be good thing
	safe havens
	testing autonomy - fluid
	concept relational recovery - occurs in relationship - not just autonomy
	health literacy strategies
	Aboriginal SEWB - deep listening approaches
	advanced care directives
	cultural safety
	family based care plans
	human rights supported decision making
	key workers and support coordination
	Open dialogue
	passports and information tools
	peer support models IPS Alt2Sui recovery college
	responsible autonomy
	shared decision making
	training for clinicians- communication &PCC
	trans health education in curriculums
	trauma informed care
	triangle of care
	work with family
	working upstream on SDMH- social justice approach
	power threat meaning framework
	Scottish model of recovery
Shifts	culture of compassion and kindness
	funding peer organisations- including peaks
	more community level care
	more LE leadership
	more psychosocial models
	need diversity intersectional focus
	reduce iatrogenic harms of services
	reform MH acts - end limit coercion
	risk tolerance - reflective -sit with distress - trust the person
	service based culture
	strong leadership to drive cultural change
	to more recovery focused outcomes - not just service outcomes
	accountable organisation cultural development

Shifts cont.	better information systems
	focus on systems change
	more supported reflective supervision
	nuanced view of lived experience and consumer and carer roles
	rebalance workforces- more social non diagnostic lens