

Question 4.—Few candidates were able to write brief answers. In the desire to get a large number of notes excessive and meaningless tabulation was too often employed. The knowledge of the various charters was far too inexact. The clauses of the Declaration of Right were stated in so general a way that the same answer would have been equally correct for the Petition of Right and for Magna Charta.

Junior Examination.

Junior English.

As regards the essay writing the remarks made on the Senior papers apply also to the Junior, and in a greater degree. A large number of candidates gave merely a series of detached pictures, while others laboriously dissected their subject and labelled each part with the utmost care.

Like as a conjunction and *also* as an introductory word after a full stop were far too frequent.

It seems reasonable to expect Junior candidates to understand the expression "a *general analysis*"; several, however, wasted time in giving the analysis in detail.

Junior History.

The questions on the whole were well answered, and many papers of considerable merit were presented. The weaker candidates made far too much use of anecdotes. The illustration of the flight of the sparrow which so impressed King Edwin was told with painful circumlocution, and in nine cases out of ten the whole point of the story was missed. Pope Gregory's puns were nearly always quoted, but a great number of the candidates were unable to continue their account beyond the slave market of Rome. The following extract illustrates the eagerness to use an anecdote: "we get a good idea of how the Welsh princes lived from the story of Llewellyn and his dog". The examiner hopes that the anecdote nuisance will be avoided in future examinations.

There were many failures through extreme carelessness. Some candidates said that Hengist and Horsa were missionaries and landed in Iona with the intention of preaching the gospel,