# ernational Centre for Allied Health Evidence

# *i*CAHE JC Critical Appraisal Summary Journal Club Details

Journal Club location Flinders Medical Centre

JC Facilitator Jo Nolan

JC Discipline Physiotherapy

### Question

In the FMC Physiotherapy Department, we are interested in understanding the literature about the motor outcomes of premature infants

### **Review Question/PICO/PACO**

**P:** (babies born under 30 weeks gestation and /or under 1250 g) who are currently 3 years or older

I: Any

C: Any

O: Gross motor outcomes assessed (co-ordination, movement skills

# **Article/Paper**

Edwards J, Berube M, Erlandson K, Haug S, Johnstone H, Meagher M, Sarkodee-Adoo S, Zwicker JG. Developmental coordination disorder in school-aged children born very preterm and/or at very low birth weight: a systematic review. Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics. 2011 Nov 1;32(9):678-87.

Please note: due to copyright regulations CAHE is unable to supply a copy of the critically appraised paper/article. If you are an employee of the South Australian government you can obtain a copy of articles from the DOHSA librarian.

Article Methodology: Systematic Review



University of South Australia

International Centre for Allied Health Evidence

## **CONTACTS**

www.unisa.edu.au/cahe iCAHE@unisa.edu.au Telephone: +61 8 830 22099 Fax: +61 8 830 22853

University of South Australia GPO Box 2471 Adelaide SA 5001 Australia

CRICOS Provider Number 00121B



University of South Australia

International Centre for Allied Health Evidence &CAHE

A member of the Sansom Institute

Did the review address a clearly focused question?  To systematically review and synthesize the literature to document the association between infants born very preterm and/or very low birth weight (VLBW) and the presence of developmental coordination disorder (DCD) at school age. Specifically, we aimed to determine and compare the odds ratio of having a motor impairment consistent with DCD between children who were born very preterm or VLBW and children born full-term or NBW (2:5900 g).  Did the authors look for the appropriate sort of papers?  Is it worth continuing?  YES  Do you think the important, relevant studies were included?  If the review's authors do enough to assess the quality of the included studies?  If the results of the review have been combined, was it reasonable to do so?  What are the overall results of the reviews?  How precise are the results?  Can the results be applied to the local population?  CONTEXT ASSESSMENT (please refer to attached document)  Infrastructure  Available workforce (? Need for substitute workforce?)  Patient characteristics  Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition  Ready access to information sources  Legislative, financial & systems support  Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers  Communication  Best ways of presenting information to different end-users  Availability of relevant equipment  Cultural acceptability of recommendations  Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to gractice (i.e. clinical	Ques No.	Yes	Can't Tell	No	Comments
To systematically review and synthesize the literature to document the association between infants born very preterm and/or very low birth weight (VLBW) and the presence of developmental coordination disorder (DCD) at school age. Specifically, we aimed to determine and compare the odds ratio of having a motor impairment consistent with DCD between children who were born very preterm or VLBW and children born full-term or NBW (>2500 g).  Did the authors look for the appropriate sort of papers?  Is it worth continuing?  YES  Do you think the important, relevant studies were included?  If the review's authors do enough to assess the quality of the included studies?  If the results of the review have been combined, was it reasonable to do so?  What are the overall results of the reviews?  How precise are the results?  Can the results be applied to the local population?  CONTEXT ASSESSMENT (please refer to attached document)  Infrastructure  Available workforce (? Need for substitute workforce?)  Patient characteristics  Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition  Ready access to information sources  Legislative, financial & systems support  Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers  Communication  Best ways of presenting information to different end-users  Availability of relevant equipment  Cultural acceptability of recommendations  Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical	140.		Tell		Did the review address a clearly focused question?
Is it worth continuing? YES  Do you think the important, relevant studies were included?  Did the review's authors do enough to assess the quality of the included studies?  If the results of the review have been combined, was it reasonable to do so?  What are the overall results of the reviews?  How precise are the results?  Can the results be applied to the local population? CONTEXT ASSESSMENT (please refer to attached document)  Infrastructure  Available workforce (? Need for substitute workforce?)  Patient characteristics  Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition  Ready access to information sources  Legislative, financial & systems support  Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers  Communication  Best ways of presenting information to different end-users  Availability of relevant equipment  Cultural acceptability of recommendations  Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical	1	<b>√</b>			To systematically review and synthesize the literature to document the association between infants born very preterm and/or very low birth weight (VLBW) and the presence of developmental coordination disorder (DCD) at school age. Specifically, we aimed to determine and compare the odds ratio of having a motor impairment consistent with DCD between children who were born very preterm or VLBW and children born full-term or NBW (>2500 g).
Do you think the important, relevant studies were included?  Did the review's authors do enough to assess the quality of the included studies?  If the results of the review have been combined, was it reasonable to do so?  What are the overall results of the reviews?  How precise are the results?  Can the results be applied to the local population?  CONTEXT ASSESSMENT (please refer to attached document)  Infrastructure  Available workforce (? Need for substitute workforce?)  Patient characteristics  Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition  Ready access to information sources  Legislative, financial & systems support  Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers  Communication  Best ways of presenting information to different end-users  Availability of relevant equipment  Cultural acceptability of recommendations  Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical)					Did the authors look for the appropriate sort of papers?
Did the review's authors do enough to assess the quality of the included studies?  If the results of the review have been combined, was it reasonable to do so?  What are the overall results of the reviews?  How precise are the results?  Can the results be applied to the local population?  CONTEXT ASSESSMENT (please refer to attached document)  Infrastructure  Available workforce (? Need for substitute workforce?)  Patient characteristics  Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition  Ready access to information sources  Legislative, financial & systems support  Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers  Communication  Best ways of presenting information to different end-users  Availability of relevant equipment  Cultural acceptability of recommendations  Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical	2				_
the included studies?  If the results of the review have been combined, was it reasonable to do so?  What are the overall results of the reviews?  How precise are the results?  Can the results be applied to the local population? CONTEXT ASSESSMENT (please refer to attached document)  Infrastructure  Available workforce (? Need for substitute workforce?)  Patient characteristics  Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition  Ready access to information sources  Legislative, financial & systems support  Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers  Communication  Best ways of presenting information to different end-users  Availability of relevant equipment  Cultural acceptability of recommendations Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical)	3				Do you think the important, relevant studies were included?
Feasonable to do so?  What are the overall results of the reviews?  How precise are the results?  Can the results be applied to the local population?  CONTEXT ASSESSMENT (please refer to attached document)  Infrastructure  Available workforce (? Need for substitute workforce?)  Patient characteristics  Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition  Ready access to information sources  Legislative, financial & systems support  Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers  Communication  Best ways of presenting information to different end-users  Availability of relevant equipment  Cultural acceptability of recommendations  Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical)	4				
B  Can the results be applied to the local population?  CONTEXT ASSESSMENT (please refer to attached document)  Infrastructure  Available workforce (? Need for substitute workforce?)  Patient characteristics  Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition  Ready access to information sources  Legislative, financial & systems support  Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers  Communication  Best ways of presenting information to different end-users  Availability of relevant equipment  Cultural acceptability of recommendations  Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical	5				,
Can the results be applied to the local population? CONTEXT ASSESSMENT (please refer to attached document)  Infrastructure  Available workforce (? Need for substitute workforce?)  Patient characteristics  Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition  Ready access to information sources  Legislative, financial & systems support  Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers  Communication  Best ways of presenting information to different end-users  Availability of relevant equipment  Cultural acceptability of recommendations  Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical	6				What are the overall results of the reviews?
B Journal Club to discuss  Journal Club to discuss  Journal Club to discuss  Journal Club to discuss  Best ways of presenting information to different end-users  Availability of relevant equipment  Cultural acceptability of recommendations  Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical	7				How precise are the results?
B Journal Club to discuss  Legislative, financial & systems support  Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers  Communication  Best ways of presenting information to different end-users  Availability of relevant equipment  Cultural acceptability of recommendations  Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical)					Can the results be applied to the local population?
Available workforce (? Need for substitute workforce?)      Patient characteristics     Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition     Ready access to information sources     Legislative, financial & systems support     Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers     Communication     Best ways of presenting information to different end-users     Availability of relevant equipment     Cultural acceptability of recommendations     Others      Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical)					CONTEXT ASSESSMENT (please refer to attached document)
B Journal Club to discuss  - Patient characteristics - Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition - Ready access to information sources - Legislative, financial & systems support - Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers - Communication - Best ways of presenting information to different end-users - Availability of relevant equipment - Cultural acceptability of recommendations Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical)					<ul><li>Infrastructure</li></ul>
Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition  Ready access to information sources  Legislative, financial & systems support  Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers  Communication  Best ways of presenting information to different end-users  Availability of relevant equipment  Cultural acceptability of recommendations  Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical)					- Available workforce (? Need for substitute workforce?)
B Journal Club to discuss  - Ready access to information sources - Legislative, financial & systems support - Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers - Communication - Best ways of presenting information to different end-users - Availability of relevant equipment - Cultural acceptability of recommendations Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical)					- Patient characteristics
Journal Club to discuss  - Legislative, financial & systems support - Health service system, referral processes and decision-makers - Communication - Best ways of presenting information to different end-users - Availability of relevant equipment - Cultural acceptability of recommendations Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical					- Training and upskilling, accreditation, recognition
Journal Club to discuss  - Legislative, imaricial & systems support  - Health service system, referral processes and decision- makers  - Communication  - Best ways of presenting information to different end-users  - Availability of relevant equipment  - Cultural acceptability of recommendations  Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical					Ready access to information sources
Journal Club to discuss   makers	8				Legislative, financial & systems support
- Communication - Best ways of presenting information to different end-users - Availability of relevant equipment - Cultural acceptability of recommendations Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical					
- Availability of relevant equipment - Cultural acceptability of recommendations Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical					- Communication
Cultural acceptability of recommendations     Others  Were all important outcomes considered?  Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical)					Best ways of presenting information to different end-users
9 Were all important outcomes considered?  10 Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical					Availability of relevant equipment
9 Were all important outcomes considered?  10 Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical					Cultural acceptability of recommendations
Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?  What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical					Others
What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical	9				Were all important outcomes considered?
What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical	10				Are the benefits worth the harms and costs?
practice, systems or processes)?	11				What do the study findings mean to practice (i.e. clinical

豆		What are your next steps?
ē		ADOPT, CONTEXTUALISE, ADAPT
nternational	12	And then (e.g. evaluate clinical practice against evidence-based recommendations; organise the next four journal club meetings around this topic to build the evidence base; organize training for staff, etc.)
	13	What is required to implement these next steps?
Centre fo		

# CONTACTS

www.unisa.edu.au/cahe iCAHE@unisa.edu.au Telephone: +61 8 830 22099 Fax: +61 8 830 22853

University of South Australia GPO Box 2471 Adelaide SA 5001 Australia

CRICOS Provider Number 00121B



University of South Australia

International Centre for Allied Health Evidence &CAHE

A member of the Sansom Institute